

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.      | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/810,659           | 03/29/2004  | Soon-Sung Yoo        | 8733.341.10-US          | 1134             |
| 1700 K 51 KBE1, 14 W |             | INER                 |                         |                  |
|                      |             |                      | . KIM, RICHARD H        |                  |
| WASHINGTO            | N, DC 20006 |                      | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER   |                  |
|                      |             |                      | 2871                    |                  |
|                      |             |                      |                         |                  |
| •                    |             |                      | MAIL DATE DELIVERY MODE |                  |
|                      |             |                      | 02/20/2007              | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| •   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |   |  |  |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| Advisory Action   | 10/810,659   | YOO ET AL.   |   |  |  |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief  | Examiner   | Art Unit   |   |  |  |
|   | Richard H. Kim   | 2871   |   |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence add  | lress                                       |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 23 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A   |  |  |   |  |  |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:  | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff<br>ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c<br>ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu            | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C             | nce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3)              |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date  |  | in the final rejection wh                                | ishayaria latar Is                          |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  |  |  |   |  |  |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  |  |  |   |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL         | tension and the corresponding amount<br>shortened statutory period for reply origi<br>r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropri<br>inally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee<br>ice action; or (2) as |  |  |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS |  |  |   |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)                                 | onsideration and/or search (see NO bw);<br>tter form for appeal by materially re<br>corresponding number of finally rej        | TE below); ducing or simplifying                         |   |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).   |  |  |   |  |  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)  |  |  |   |  |  |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).  |  | -  |   |  |  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 19-21.   |  | l be entered and an e                                    | explanation of                              |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |  |  |   |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |  |  | . A. I                                      |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   |  |  |   |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar  | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea<br>y and was not earlier presented. S   | al and/or appellant fai<br>ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(           | ils to provide a<br>1).                     |  |  |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | n of the status of the claims after e  | ntry is below or attach                                  | ned.  |  |  |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu  | at does NOT place the application in   | າ condition for allowar                                  | nce because:                                |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:  | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  |  |   |  |  |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amendments to claim 19 of "a capacitor electrode extending from the pixel electrode" and therebetween" would require further search and/or consideration.

Andrewschechter Primary examiner